


In Situ Adaptive Tabulation for Nonlinear MPC

J. D. Hedengren

T. F. Edgar

The University of Texas at Austin



Madison, WI

22 Sept. 2003


Outline

- Introduction to ISAT
- ISAT Theory
- NMPC with ISAT
- ISAT vs. Neural Nets
- Future Directions

In Situ Adaptive Tabulation (ISAT)

- Developed by Pope¹ in 1997 for turbulent combustion simulations.
- ASCI C-SAFE application² at the University of Utah in 2002.
- Integrated with FLUENT³, popular computational fluid dynamics software.


Computational Reduction Idea



In reacting flow simulations, the integration of the chemistry model can occur $>10^8$ times. ISAT is a storage and retrieval method for the integrations.

ISAT Search

- Binary Tree Architecture
 - Search times are $O(\log_2(N))$ compared with $O(N)$ for a sequential search




$$v = \phi_2 - \phi_1$$

$$\alpha = v^T \left(\frac{\phi_2 + \phi_1}{2} \right)$$

ISAT Integration


- Scenario #1: Inside the region of accuracy



$$(\phi - \phi_1)^T M (\phi - \phi_1) \leq \epsilon_{tol}$$

ISAT Integration

- Scenario #2: Outside the region of accuracy but within the error tolerance




$$(\phi - \phi_1)^T M (\phi - \phi_1) > \epsilon_{tol}$$

Compute M_{new} so that the new region is a symmetric, minimum volume ellipsoid that includes ϕ

ISAT Integration

- Scenario #3: Outside the region of accuracy and outside the error tolerance




Define cutting plane

$$v = \phi - \phi_1$$

$$\alpha = v^T \left(\frac{\phi + \phi_1}{2} \right)$$

Find a conservative estimate for the region of accuracy around ϕ

Nonlinear MPC



Dynamic Data Reconciliation⁴

$$\min_{x, \eta} \Phi(x, \eta, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=-N}^{-1} [C(x_k, y_k) + \Xi(\eta_k)] + C(x_0, y_0) + \Xi(\eta_0) \quad s.t.$$

$$y \text{ given}, u \text{ given}, x_{k+1} = F(x_k, u_k), Gx_k - \eta_k \leq g, \eta_k \geq 0$$

Dynamic Optimization⁵

$$\min_{x, u, \eta} \Phi(x, u, \eta) \quad s.t.$$

$$x_0 \text{ given}, x_{k+1} = F(x_k, u_k), Du_k \leq d, Gx_k - \eta_k \leq g, \eta_k \geq 0$$

Nonlinear MPC



Given: Continuous DAE model

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f_1(x, u) \\ 0 &= f_2(x, u) \end{aligned}$$

Dynamic Data Reconciliation¹

$$\min_{x, \eta} \Phi(x, \eta, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=-N}^{-1} [C(x_k, y_k) + \Xi(\eta_k)] + C(x_0, y_0) + \Xi(\eta_0) \quad \text{s.t.}$$

Need: Discrete DAE model

y given, *u* given, $x_{k+1} = F(x_k, u_k), Gx_k - \eta_k \leq g, \eta_k \geq 0$


Dynamic Optimization²

$$\min_{x, u, \eta} \Phi(x, u, \eta) \quad \text{s.t.}$$


x_0 given, $x_{k+1} = F(x_k, u_k), Du_k \leq d, Gx_k - \eta_k \leq g, \eta_k \geq 0$

ISAT with NMPC

- ISAT replaces the DAE integrator and sensitivity calculator



NMPC Example with ISAT



32 state binary distillation
column model

MV: reflux ratio

CV: distillate composition



Simplex optimizer

Soft constraint on the MV

Control Horizon = 10 min

Prediction Horizon = 15 min



Closed Loop Response



ISAT Performance

- Successful with ODE and DAE models
- Computational speedup 20 – 500 times
- Storage <100MB for 96 state DAE model
with $\varepsilon_{\text{tol}} = 10^{-3}$

ISAT vs. Neural Nets



6 state dual CSTR model

MV: cooling rate of CSTR 1

CV: product temperature


ISAT and Neural Net used
the same training data

Compared in open loop and
closed loop simulations


Control Horizon = 0.4 min

Prediction Horizon = 0.6 min

Open Loop (ISAT vs. Neural Net)



Closed Loop (ISAT vs. Neural Net)



Future Directions

- Develop ISAT in C++/Fortran (currently in MATLAB)
- Integrate ISAT with NMPC toolbox for Octave
- Control of Reactive Distillation
- Other applications?
- Questions?



References

- [1] S. B. Pope, Pope, S. B. Computationally Efficient Implementation of Combustion Chemistry Using *In Situ* Adaptive Tabulation. *Combustion Theory Modeling* vol. 1, pp. 41-63, 1997.

- [2] C-SAFE, Center for the Simulation of Accidental Fires and Explosions, URL: <http://www.csafe.utah.edu/>, Accessed Sept. 2003.

- [3] FLUENT, Reacting Flows, URL: <http://www.fluent.com/software/fluent/focus/reacting.htm>, Accessed Sept. 2003.

- [4] M. J. Liebman, T. F. Edgar, and L. S. Lasdon, Efficient data reconciliation and estimation for dynamic processes using nonlinear programming techniques, *Comp. Chem. Eng.*, 16:963-986, 1992.

- [5] M. J. Tenny, S. J. Wright, and J. B. Rawlings, Nonlinear model predictive control via feasibility-perturbed sequential quadratic programming, Texas-Wisconsin Modeling and Control Consortium, Report TWMCC-2002-02, 2002.